



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/450,867	11/30/1999	LYLE BATE	26530.4	8271	
27683 7:	590 09/12/2002				
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202			EXAMINER		
			WILLETT, S	WILLETT, STEPHAN F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152		
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

18

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/450,867	BATE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
, <b>,</b>	Stephan F Willett	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l	<u> </u>				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· is action is non-final.					
, <del>_</del>		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/450,867

Art Unit: 2152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor et al. with Patent Number 6,178,510 in view of Chang et al. with Patent Number 6,157,953.
- 4. Regarding claim(s) 1, 11, 15, 19, O'Connor teaches checking user authorization in a computer network and access levels. O'Connor teaches rights of a principle to a resource, col. 8, lines 3-5. O'Connor teaches updating rights to access a resource, col. 8, lines 10-14. O'Connor teaches receiving a request from a principle, col. 7, lines 65-67. O'Connor teaches retrieving

Application/Control Number: 09/450,867

Art Unit: 2152

5.

rights, col. 8, lines 27-30. O'Connor teaches a first set of rights, col. 11, lines 18-21. O'Connor teaches second rights at a second location, col. 12, lines 8-10. O'Connor teaches the invention in the above claim(s) except for explicitly teaching updating of user's rights. In that O'Connor operates to check requests to content servers, the artisan would have looked to the network access controlling arts for details of implementing user verifications. In that art, Chang, a related access controlling network system, teaches "a method of automating the process of registering new applications and services", col. 5, lines 39-41 in order to provide updated rights. Chang specifically teaches that "typically an administrator will choose all the service hosts that contain services that were recently modified or added", col. 10, lines 31-33. Further, Chang suggests "there can be many service hosts, each of which have several services available", col. 10, lines 28-29 which will result from implementing his rights verification. The motivation to incorporate updated user's rights insures that various software alternatives are supported for added usability. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate updates as taught in Chang into the rights levels described in the O'Connor patent because O'Connor operates with security levels and Chang suggests that optimization can be obtained when capabilities are added. Therefore, by the above rational, the above claim(s) are rejected. Regarding claims 3-4, Win teaches allowing different and higher user access levels, col. 6, lines 20-22.

- 5. Regarding claims 2, O'Connor teaches a table of principles, col. 9, lines 1-2.
- 6. Regarding claims 3, O'Connor teaches a table of rights and even formulas, col. 9, lines 2-
- 7. Regarding claims 4, Chang teaches a table of access to a resource, col. 14, lines 18-20.

Application/Control Number: 09/450,867

Art Unit: 2152

- Regarding claims 5-6, 12-13, 16-17, 20-21, Chang teaches a resource manager to map 8. access to a directory of rights, col. 12, lines 53-58 and O'Connor at col. 9, lines 60-62.
- Regarding claims 7, 10, 14, 18, 22, Change teaches updating of rights, col. 10, lines 19-9. 23.
- Regarding claims 8-9, O'Connor teaches remote access, col. 6, line 65. 10.
- Regarding claims 10, O'Connor teaches updates at scheduled times, col. 10, lines 54-55... 11.

## Conclusion

- Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 12. disclosure is disclosed in the Notice of References Cited. The other references cited teach numerous other ways to perform rights' verification, thus a close review of them is suggested.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephan Willett whose telephone number is (703) 308-5230. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 14. supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-6606.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding 15. should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9605.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100